What's around me? Location analytics over Software–Defined WLANs

Roberto Riggio*, Iacopo Carreras† and Erinda Jaupaj†
*CREATE-NET, Trento, Italy; Email: rriggio@create-net.org
†U–Hopper, Trento, Italy; Email: name.surname@uhopper.com

***Abstract*—Software–Defined Networking is gaining increasing interest in the academic and the industrial communities alike. SDN principles call for commodity networking devices and for shifting all intelligence to a logically centralized controller. In this demo we build on a programmable enterprise WLAN platform in order to implement and deploy a location analytics solution to be used in shopping malls, airports, and similar venues for location–based advertisement and visitors profiling. Our solution can run on commodity devices and in many cases can be adapted to run over an existing WLAN infrastructure.**

I. Introduction

Software–Defined Networking (SDN) is reshaping the way networks are controlled and managed opening the way to more flexible and manageable IT infrastructures. At its foundation, SDN relies on two main concepts: (i) control and data plane decoupling; and (ii) high–level programming primitives providing network developers with a programmatic interface to control and configure their SDNs. Similar concepts are also making their way into the wireless networking domain [1]. However, if OpenFlow has emerged as the de–facto standard for packet switched networks, an idempotent solution has yet to emerge for wireless networks. In fact, the flow abstraction on which OpenFlow relies does not account for: (i) the stochastic nature of wireless links (which are not equivalent to ports in Ethernet switches); (ii) the resource allocation granularity (the flow abstraction is too coarse for wireless networks); and (iii) the significant heterogeneity in the link and radio layer technologies (state management for network elements can differ significantly across currently deployed Radio Access Networks technologies). Preliminary programming abstractions for enterprise WLANs have already been proposed by the authors [1], [2]. Such abstractions tackle wireless client state management, resource provisioning, network monitoring, and network reconfiguration. A proof–of–concept controller as well as an SDK exposing the proposed abstractions have also been implemented.

In this demo we take a step forward toward a truly general purpose and programmable IT infrastructure by implementing a location analytics and mobile advertisement platform using our SDK and by deploying it on top of our programmable WLAN controller. This *Network App*, targeting shopping malls, airports, and similar venues, aims at computing statistics such as the average time spent by a visitor in a certain area, returning visitors, and hot–zones. Such information can then be leveraged for targeted advertisements and/or user profiling. As opposed to commercially available indoor localization solutions, which rely on proprietary hardware and/or controllers, our framework supports any WiFi Access Point (AP) capable of running an open firmware (e.g. OpenWRT) while our WLAN controller can run on any platform capable of running a Python interpreter (Linux, MAX OS, Windows, etc.).



Fig. 1: The EmPOWER system architecture.

II. System Architecture

We named our programmable enterprise WLAN platform *EmPOWER* [3]. An high level view of the *EmPOWER* system architecture is depicted in Fig. 1. The network is composed by a variable number of Wireless Termination Points (*WTP*s), i.e. the WiFi APs that form the WLAN providing clients with wireless connectivity. The *SD–RAN Controller* can run multiple virtual networks or slices on top of the same physical infrastructure. A network slice is a virtual network with a specific SSID and its own set of *WTP*s. Clients can *opt–in* a certain slice by associating to its SSID. Each *Network App* is instantiated in its own slice of and can only change the state of the clients in that slice. *Network App*s exploit the programming primitives trough either a RESTful interface or a native Python API. Finally, the controller ensures that a *Network App* is only presented a view of the network corresponding to its slice.

III. *Channel Quality Map*

In this section we summarize the main features of the *Channel Quality Map* abstractions which is leveraged in this demo in order to implement the location analytics *Network App*. The *Channel Quality Map* abstraction provides network programmers with a full view of the network state in terms of channel quality between clients and *WTP*s. The *Channel Quality Map* is exposed to the network programmer by means of two data structures: the *User Channel Quality Map* (*UCQM*) and the *Network Channel Quality Map* (*NCQM*). Both are 3-dimensional matrices where each entry is the channel quality

over a certain frequency band between a client and a *WTP* in the case of the *UCQM*; and between two *WTP*s in the case of the *NCQM*. For example, the code below periodically queries the specified *WTP* for its neighboring stations.

```
ucqm(addrs='ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff',
     block=('04:f0:21:09:f9:96', 36, L20)
     every=5000,
     ssid='Guests')
```

Listing 1: UCQM query creation.

From the implementation standpoint, a monitor interface is created on top of each physical radio available at each *WTP* in the network. The RSSI readings reported by the wireless driver for each decoded frame are then used as a measure of the interference between the transmitter and the *WTP*. For each For each neighbor within the decoding range, the *WTP*s computes the average of the RSSI over windows of 500ms, an exponential weighted moving average (Y_{ewma}) and N–points smoothing moving average (Y_{sma}) are also maintained.

The query is executed periodically with the period set by the `every` parameter (in ms)[1]. Similarly, the RSSI from neighboring WiFi Access Points can be tracked using the *ncqm* primitive. In the above example specifying `ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff` will return the RSSI of any station within the decoding range of *WTP 04:F0:21:09:F9:96* on the legacy channel 36 (i.e., an 802.11a channel).

A sample output of the `ucqm` primitive is reported below. In this case the station *a0:d3:c1:a8:e4:c3* is a neighbor of the *WTP 04:f0:21:09:f9:96* on the 802.11a channel 36. The report includes, besides the previously described averages, also the total number of frames received since the query was created (`hist_packets`) together with the average (`last_rssi_avg`), the standard deviation (`last_rssi_std`), and the size (`last_packets`) of the RSSI samples received during the last observation window.

```
{
    "a0:d3:c1:a8:e4:c3": {
        "ewma_rssi": -82,
        "hist_packets": 15810,
        "last_packets": 10,
        "last_rssi_avg": -79,
        "last_rssi_std": 7
        "sma_rssi": -82,
    }
}
```

Listing 2: UCQM query output.

It is worth noticing that, the *Channel Quality Map* tracks the RSSI level of any active WiFi device including the ones belonging to networks that are not under the administrative domain of the WLAN controller. This includes wireless clients that are not associated to *any* network but have their wireless interface active. This is due to the fact that such clients periodically broadcast *Probe Requests* messages in order to discover available APs. Finally, sensitive information (such as MAC addresses) are not disclosed to the *Network App* unless the particular client has opted–in the *Network App*'s slice. If this is not the case MAC addresses are randomized.

[1]Specifying $every = -1$ will result in a single query being issued.

IV. Demo

Modern location–based applications and services rely on the possibility to know in real–time the geographical position of customers. While GPS–based localization can provide precise and real–time geo–localization, its reliability drops dramatically in indoor settings. Several indoor localization solutions leveraging various technologies (WiFi, Bluetooth, acoustic, etc.) are currently commercially available. While some of them are characterized by sub–m precision, their cost could be prohibitive for many deployments. Moreover, for several use cases proximity based localization is sufficient instead of precise indoor geo–localization. By *proximity detection*, we refer to the capability of knowing if a certain wireless client is within a few meters from an anchor point (a *WTP* in this case). Notice that the assumption here is that anchor points are deployed in close proximity of points of interests in a certain venue, such as check–in desks or shops in an airport.

The RSSI tracking capabilities allowed by the *Channel Quality Map* can be effectively leveraged to implement such a proximity detection system. A simple RSSI tracking *Network App* has been implemented as proof–of–concept. The *Network App* tracks in real–time the RSSI of wireless clients at different *WTP*s in the network. The *Network App* then uses the following metrics in order to compute the proximity information:

- *Strength*, the average RSSI level observed in the last observation window: *WTP*s that measure high RSSI values are considered to be closer to the wireless client.
- *Stability*, the standard deviation of the RSSI in the last observation windows: *WTP*s that experience less *stable* signals provide a less accurate proximity information.
- *Consistency*: *WTP*s that consistently reported RSSI measurements from a given client are consider to provide a more accurate proximity information.
- *Visibility*, the number of *WTP*s reporting RSSI measurements: receiving RSSI measurements from several *WTP*s is consider to reduce the accuracy.

The *Network App* exploits these metrics to build a list of *WTP*s ordered in decreasing level of proximity (from the closest to the furthest). For each *WTP* a proximity radius (in m) is also reported: very close ($< 6m$) and close ($< 10m$). Starting from this information, the *Network App* computes a set of aggregated statistics, namely, the average time spent by visitor in proximity of each *WTP*, the number of returning visitors, and the most visited areas. During the demo we will show real–time statistics from a 20 nodes deployment at CREATE-NET premises (a 5–stories office building). A single *WTP* setup will be staged during the demo showing real–time statistics gathered from the demo floor.

References

[1] R. Riggio, K. M. Gomez, T. Rasheed, J. Schulz-Zander, S. Kuklinski, and M. K. Marina, "Programming Software–Defined Wireless Networks," in *Proc. of IEEE CNSM*, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil, 2014.

[2] R. Riggio, T. Rasheed, and M. K. Marina, "Interference Management in Software–Defined Wireless Networks," in *Proc. of IEEE IM*, Ottawa, Canada, 2015.

[3] "EmPOWER." [Online]. Available: http://empower.create-net.org/